The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Health Policy					
BILL:	SB 876				
INTRODUCER:	Senator Young				
SUBJECT:	Programs	for Impaired Health Care Practitioners			
DATE:	March 13, 2017 REVISED:				
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE	ACTION
 Rossitto-Van Winkle 		Stovall		HP	Pre-meeting
2.				AHS	
3.				AP	

I. Summary:

SB 876 revises multiple statutory provisions relating to treatment programs for impaired healthcare providers. Primarily it clarifies in law roles and responsibilities of the parties involved in the program, including the Department of Health (DOH or department), consultant, evaluator, treatment provider, and impaired practitioner. The bill no longer authorizes the DOH to specify by rule the manner in which consultants must work with DOH in intervening, evaluating, treating, monitoring, providing continuing care, or expelling a professional from the program. This will now be governed by a contract between the DOH and each consultant. The bill defines certain terms relating to impaired practitioner programs; and provides that a licensee may report an impaired practitioner to a consultant who operates an impaired practitioner program, rather than to the DOH, under certain circumstances.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. Present Situation:

Treatment Programs for Impaired Practitioners

Section 456.076, F.S., provides resources to assist health care practitioners¹ who are impaired as a result of illness or use of alcohol, drugs, narcotics, chemicals, or any other type of material, or as a result of any mental or physical condition, which could affect the practitioners' ability to practice with skill and safety. For professions that do not have impaired practitioner programs

¹ Health care practitioners are defined in s. 456.001(4), F.S., to include licensed acupuncturists, physicians, physician assistants, chiropractors, podiatrists, naturopaths, dentists, dental hygienists, optometrists, nurses, nursing assistants, pharmacists, midwives, speech language pathologists, nursing home administrators, occupational therapists, respiratory therapists, dieticians, athletic trainers, orthotists, prosthetists, practitioners of electrolysis, massage therapists, clinical laboratory personnel, medical physicists, dispensers of optical devices or hearing aids, physical therapists, psychologists, social workers, counselors, and psychotherapists, among other professions. These practitioners are regulated by the MQA within the DOH.

provided for in their practice acts, the DOH designates approved impaired practitioners programs.

Section 456.076, F.S., requires the DOH to retain one or more impaired practitioner consultants who are each licensed under the jurisdiction of the Division of Medical Quality Assurance within the DOH and who must be:

- A practitioner or recovered practitioner licensed under chs. 458, 459, or part I, ch. 464, F.S.;
 or
- An entity that employs:
 - A medical director who must be a practitioner or recovered practitioner licensed under ch. 458 or ch. 459; or
 - An executive director who must be a registered nurse or a recovered registered nurse licensed under part I, ch. 464, F.S.

There are currently two department-approved treatment programs for impaired practitioners in Florida, the Professionals Resource Network (PRN) and the Intervention Project for Nurses (IPN).²

The PRN provides evaluations and treatment referrals, and monitoring, for all health professions, except nursing and certified nursing assistants.³ The IPN provides those same services to nurses and certified nursing assistants.⁴ The IPN and PRN initiate interventions, recommend evaluations, and refer impaired practitioners to department-approved treatment programs or treatment providers, and monitor the progress of impaired practitioners. PRN and IPN do not provide medical services. They act as liaisons between the DOH and approved treatment programs and providers. The DOH is not responsible for paying for the care provided by approved treatment providers or a consultant.

A medical school, nursing program or other health professional school, providing education for students enrolled in preparation for licensure as a health care professional, may also contract with the PRN or IPN, to provide services to an enrolled student, if the student is allegedly impaired as a result of the misuse or abuse of alcohol or drugs, or both, or due to a mental or physical condition.⁵

The PRN also contracts with the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) to provide evaluations, treatment referrals, and monitoring for veterinarians and veterinary students. The DBPR regulates veterinarians and veterinary students, but has no statutory authority under the general provisions in ch. 455, F.S., to create its own impaired practitioner program for veterinarians and veterinary students. However, ch. 455, F.S., provides for disciplinary action against persons who do not fully participate in an impaired practitioner program operated by the DOH. Section 455.227(1)(u), F.S., states that, "termination from a

² See Professionals Resource Network, available at http://www.ipnfl.org/ (last visited Mar. 7, 2017).

³ Professionals Resource Network, *About Us, available at* http://www.flprn.org/about (last visited Mar. 9, 2017).

⁴ Intervention Project for Nurses, IPN History, available at http://www.ipnfl.org/ipnhistory.html (last visited Mar. 9, 2017)

⁵ Section 456.076(1)(c)2., F. S.

⁶ Department of Business and Professional Regulation, *Senate Bill 876 Analysis* (March 2, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on Health Policy).

treatment program for impaired practitioners as described in s. 456.076, F.S., for failure to comply, without good cause, with the terms of the monitoring or treatment contract entered into by the licensee or failing to successfully complete a drug or alcohol treatment program," is grounds for disciplinary action. Further, s. 474.221, F. S., addresses impaired practitioner provisions for veterinarians licensed under ch. 474, and states that they shall be governed by the treatment of impaired practitioners under the provisions of s. 456.076, F.S.

The IPN and PRN, if requested, also serve as consultants to the DOH in cases that come before the practice boards or the DOH, including credentialing and monitoring of applicants, and assisting in developing plans for licensee practice in a structured environment. They must also be available to testify in administrative hearings and other legal proceedings on behalf of the DOH.

If an impaired practitioner fails to satisfactorily progress, or continue in a treatment program, the PRN and IPN must follow specific procedures set forth in the contract with the DOH, up to, and including, sending notification to the DOH of dismissal of the practitioner from the program and for the DOH to initiate disciplinary action. When a licensee is dismissed from a treatment program the consultant provides an evaluation of the licensee's impairment condition to the DOH. The evaluation is used by the DOH to determine if the licensee poses an immediate and serious danger to the public for the purpose of issuing an emergency order restricting or suspending his or her practice.

Whenever a PRN or IPN consultant, licensee, or approved treatment provider makes a disclosure of confidential information regarding a practitioner to the DOH pursuant to law, that individual is not subject to civil liability for such disclosure, or its consequences. If the contract with the consultant contains specified provisions, the consultant, the consultant's officers and employees, and those acting at the direction of the consultant are considered agents of the DOH for purposes of s. 768.28, F.S., relating to sovereign immunity. The Department of Financial Services is required to defend any claim, suit, action, or proceeding, including proceedings for injunctive, affirmative, or declaratory relief, against a consultant, -the consultant's officers, employees, or those acting at the direction of the consultant, for acts or omissions relating to an emergency intervention on behalf of a licensee or student, if the act or omission arises out of the course and scope of the consultant's duties under its DOH contract.⁷

When the DOH receives a legally sufficient written or oral complaint alleging that a licensee is impaired as a result of the misuse or abuse of alcohol or drugs, or due to a mental or physical condition, that could affect the licensee's ability to practice with skill and safety; and no other complaint against the licensee exists, the reporting of such information does not constitute grounds for discipline if certain conditions are met. Those conditions include, findings by the appropriate board's probable cause panel, or the DOH, if there is no board, that the licensee:

- Acknowledged the impairment problem;
- Enrolled in an appropriate, approved treatment program;
- Voluntarily withdrew from practice, or his or her practice, until he or she had successfully completed the treatment program; and
- Released his medical records to the consultant.

⁷ Section 456.076(8), F.S.

⁸ Section 456.076(4)(a), F.S.

If, however, the DOH has not received a legally sufficient complaint, and the licensee agrees to withdraw from practice until such time as the consultant determines the licensee has satisfactorily completed an evaluation and approved treatment program, if appropriate, neither the probable cause panel nor the DOH will become involved in the licensee's case.⁹

Section 456.072(1)(hh), F.S., sets forth, as grounds for disciplinary action against a health care practitioner, being terminated from a treatment program for impaired practitioners, which is overseen by an impaired practitioner consultant, for failure to comply, without good cause, with the terms of the monitoring or treatment contract entered into by the licensee; or for not successfully completing any drug treatment or alcohol treatment program.

Section 456.072, F.S., also requires practitioners to report to the DOH any person who the licensee knows is in violation of this chapter, the chapter regulating the alleged violator, or the rules of the department or the board, ¹⁰ which would include any person unable to practice with reasonable skill and safety to patients by reason of illness or use of alcohol, drugs, narcotics, chemicals, or any other type of material or as a result of any mental or physical condition.

Emergency medical technicians (EMTs), paramedics and emergency medical services¹¹ personnel (EMS) are not healthcare practitioners regulated under ch. 456, F.S.¹² All three are "certified" by the DOH under ch. 401, F.S., relating to Medical Telecommunications and Transportation. Section 456.076, F.S., requires the DOH to designate approved impaired practitioner programs for these professions, and PRN provides those services.¹³ Section 401.411, F.S., sets forth disciplinary guidelines for the DOH to take action against EMTs, paramedics, and EMS personnel. The guidelines includes a penalty for failure to report any person known to be in violation s. 401.411, F.S.;¹⁴ and a penalty for practicing as an EMT, paramedic or EMS without reasonable skill and without regard for the safety of the public by reason of illness, drunkenness, or the use of drugs, narcotics, or chemicals or any other substance or as a result of any mental or physical condition.¹⁵

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 876 revises the title of s. 456.076, F.S., from, "Treatment programs for impaired practitioners" to, "Impaired practitioner programs"; and specifically defines the terms "consultant," "evaluator," "impaired practitioner," "impaired practitioner program," "impairment," "inability to progress," "material noncompliance," "participant," "participant contract," "practitioner," "referral," "treatment program, "and "treatment provider." Defining

⁹ Section 456.076(4)(b), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 456.072(1)(i), F.S.

¹¹ Section 401.107(3), F.S. defines "emergency medical services" as the activities or services to prevent or treat a sudden critical illness or injury and to provide emergency medical care and prehospital emergency medical transportation to sick, injured, or otherwise incapacitated persons in this state.

¹² Section 456.001(4), F.S., defines a "health care practitioner" as any person licensed under ch. 457; ch. 458; ch. 459; ch. 460; ch. 461; ch. 462; ch. 463; ch. 465; ch. 466; ch. 467; part II, part III, part III, part XIII, or part XIV, ch. 468; ch. 478; ch. 480; part III or part IV, ch. 483; ch. 484; ch. 486; ch. 490; or ch. 491, F.S.

¹³ Professional Resource Network, "About Us" available at http://www.flprn.org/about, (last visited Mar. 9, 2017).

¹⁴ Section 411.23(1)(1), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 411.23(1)(k), F.S.

these terms would provide legislative guidance to the DOH for contractual purposes and in legal proceedings.

The bill expands the list of providers who may contract as a consultant, to operate the DOH's impaired practitioner program, to include a licensed practical nurse (LPN). To operate the program a consultant must be:

- A practitioner licensed under ch. 458, ch. 459, or part I, ch. 464, F.S.; 17 or
- An entity that employs:
 - A medical director who is must be a practitioner licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459; or
 - o An executive director who is licensed under part I, ch. 464, F.S. 18

The bill deletes the provisions authorizing the DOH to adopt, by rule, the manner in which consultants work with the DOH in interventions, in evaluating and treating professionals, in providing and monitoring continued care of impaired professionals, and in expelling professionals from the program. Much of this detail and the parameters for the program are provided in the bill and will be specified in the contract.

The bill requires that, if the DOH elects to retain one or more consultants to operate its impaired practitioner program, the terms and conditions of the impaired practitioner programs must be specified by the contract, which, at a minimum, must contain the following agreements, to:

- Accept referrals;¹⁹
- Arrange for evaluation and treatment of practitioners as recommended by the consultant; and
- Monitor the impaired practitioner's recovery process until no longer needed or the practitioner is terminated for material non-compliance²⁰ or inability to progress. ^{21,22}

This codifies current DOH practices, and thus, would not impact how the programs currently operate.²³

The bill provides that an impaired practitioner may self-report, or report another impaired professional, to a consultant rather than the DOH under certain circumstances.

The bill provides that when the DOH receives a legally sufficient complaint against a practitioner alleging that a practitioner has an impairment, and no complaint exists other than impairment, the DOH shall refer the practitioner to the consultant, along with all information in the DOH's

¹⁶ SB 876, proposed s. 456.076(2), F.S.

¹⁷ Part I, ch. 464, F.S., issues certificates and licenses to ARNPs, RNs and LPNs.

¹⁸ Id.

¹⁹ Section 456.076(1),(k), F.S.

²⁰ Section 456.076(1)(g), F.S., of the bill. The bill defines "Material noncompliance" to mean an act or omission by a participant in violation of his or her participant contract as determined by the department or consultant.

²¹ Section 456.076(1)(f), F.S., of the bill. "Inability to progress" means a determination by a consultant based on a participant's response to treatment and prognosis that the participant is unable to safely practice despite compliance with treatment requirements and his or her participant contract.

²² Sections 456.076(3), (4), (5), and (6), F.S.

²³ Department of Health, Senate Bill 876 Analysis, (February 10, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on Health Policy).

possession relating to the impairment. The impairment does not constitute grounds for discipline pursuant to s. 456.072 or the applicable practice act if the practitioner:

- Has acknowledged the impairment;
- Becomes a participant in an impaired practitioner program and successfully completes a participant contract under terms established by the consultant;
- Has voluntarily withdrawn from practice or has limited the scope of his or her practice if required by the consultant;
- Has provided to the consultant, or has authorized the consultant to obtain, all records and
 information relating to the impairment from any source and all other medical records of the
 practitioner requested by the consultant; and
- Has authorized the consultant, in the event of the practitioner's termination from the impaired practitioner program, to report the termination to the department and provide the department with copies of all information in the consultant's possession relating to the practitioner.²⁴

The mandatory requirement that the practitioner release all records and information relating to the impairment from any source and all other medical records of the practitioner requested by the consultant may be broader than the release requirement under current law.²⁵ Current law requires the practitioner to authorize the release of all records of evaluations, diagnoses, and treatment of the licensee, including records of treatment for emotional or mental conditions, to the consultant.

The bill defines "Material noncompliance" to mean an act or omission by a participant in violation of his or her participant contract as determined by the department or consultant. "Inability to progress" means a determination by a consultant based on a participant's response to treatment and prognosis that the participant is unable to safely practice despite compliance with treatment requirements and his or her participant contract.²⁶

The bill modifies when a consultant must report an impaired practitioner in a treatment program to the DOH. It establishes, "termination from the impaired practitioner program" as the point in time when a consultant must report an impaired practitioner to the DOH. The bill requires the referral or participant to be terminated from an impaired practitioner program for failure to progress or a material contract non-compliance. The termination triggers the consultant's duty to disclose to the DOH all information in his, her or its possession, including exempt confidential information, thus providing evidence of a factual basis for the termination and a disciplinary complaint based on the termination for failure to make satisfactory progress in a treatment

²⁶ See footnotes 19 and 20.

²⁴ See s. 456.076(10)(a), F.S., of the bill.

²⁵ For example, in 2016. the Legislature enacted Senate Bill 964 authorizing, among other things, an impaired practitioner consultant indirect access to the Florida Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) for the purpose of reviewing the database information of an impaired practitioner program participant or a referral who has separately agreed in writing to the consultant's access to and review of such information. *See* ss. 893.055(7)(c)5 and 893.0551(3)(h), F.S. This bill potentially creates a coercive method of requiring the practitioner to give up his or her PDMP records to the consultant, and by extension, to the DOH for disciplinary action. In order to attempt to avoid discipline for the practitioner, the bill requires the practitioner to release any information that relate to the practitioner's impairment; and any other records the consultant requests. The PDMP records would certainly be medical records related to the impairment and of the nature the consultant would request the practitioner to release. Were the practitioner then to be terminated from the impaired practitioner program for any reason, the consultant would be required to turn those records over to the DOH. The DOH does not have authority to access these PDMP records, either directly or indirectly.

program, or an impairment that has affected a practitioner's ability to practice with skill and safety, rather than just a consultant's opinion on the issue without a factual basis to support it.²⁷

The confidential or exempt information obtained by the consultant, retains its confidential or exempt status.²⁸ However, the bill does not provide any protection for the information once sent to the DOH, or obtained by an evaluator or treatment provider, from a public records request.

Under current law a consultant, the consultant's officers and employees, and those acting at the direction of the consultant are considered agents of the DOH, and have sovereign immunity while acting within the course and scope of their contract.²⁹ The bill eliminates the express extension of sovereign immunity for the DOH consultants; but broadens the liability protection for a consultant, to include directors, officers, employees or agents of a consultant, but not the evaluators or treatment providers, in an action for damages brought against them for making a disclosure to the DOH, or for any other act or omission relating to the impaired practitioner program, or the consequences of such disclosure, including, without limitation, action by the DOH against a license, registration or certification.³⁰ The bill then directs the Department of Financial Services to defend the consultant, consultant's directors, officers, employees and agents against any claim, suit, action, or proceeding for injunction, affirmative, or declaratory relief, as the result of any action or omission relating to the impaired practitioner program.³¹

However, because under the terms of the DOH's contract with the consultant, the DOH exercises significant control over the consultant, a court could determine that an agency relationship exists between the DOH and the consultant; and that the consultant is entitled to sovereign immunity. In *Stoll v. Noel*, the Florida Supreme Court explained that independent contractor physicians may be agents of the state for purposes of sovereign immunity: ^{32,33}

The bill provides an exception to health care professionals' statutory duty to report themselves, or another professional, to the DOH when they know the professional is practicing without reasonable skill and safety by reason or illness, drunkenness, ³⁴ or the use of alcohol drugs, narcotics, chemicals, or any other substance, or as a result of a mental or physical condition. ³⁵ The exception is only applicable to impairment issues, and allows a professional to report himself or herself, or another impaired practitioner, to a consultant operating an approved impaired practitioner program. The bill similarly amends s. 401.411(1)(1), F.S., relating to disciplinary actions and penalties for EMTs, Paramedics and EMS personnel and ss. 455.227, F.S., and 474.221, F.S., for veterinarians.

²⁷ See s. 456.073(6)(b), of the bill.

²⁸ See s 456.013(13), F.S. of the bill.

²⁹ Section 456.076(8)(a), F.S.

³⁰ See s. 456.073(14), F.S. of the bill.

³¹ See s. 456.076, (16), F.S. of the bill.

³² Stoll v. Noel, 694 So. 2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997).

³³ Id. at 703, quoting from the Restatement (Second) of Agency s. 14N (1957).

³⁴ The term "drunkenness" is only used in s. 401.411, F.S., and perhaps should be deleted and replaced with the phrase "use of alcohol" for consistency with other similar statutory provision.

³⁵ The bill creates this exception in ch. 456, F.S., and all healthcare professional practice acts, except part I, ch. 486, F.S., regulating speech and language pathologists, and audiologists and part IV, ch. 483, F.S., governing medical physicists. However, a professional under these sections would have the exception available to them through the additional professional obligations imposed on them set out in ch. 456, F,S.

This bill is effective upon becoming a law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

The bill protects the confidential or exempt information obtained by the consultant from a public records request; but the bill does not protect any of the information sent to the DOH, or obtained by an evaluator or treatment provider, from a public records request.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

The bill's definitions of, "inability to progress," and "material noncompliance," may create due process issues as conclusive presumptions.³⁶

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The authority for licensed healthcare professionals to report impairments directly to a consultant, may have a positive impact on reporting by licensees and self-reporting by impaired licensees if the perceived threat of discipline by the department is removed.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Due to the expansion of individuals who are afforded a defense by the Department of Financial Services for claims, actions, suits, etc., and the board nature of the protection, there may be a negative financial impact on that agency's Risk Management Trust Fund.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

The bill has an internal conflict between lines 299-306 and lines 364 - 369 relating to whether or not a consultant reports to the DOH on a self-reporting participant in an impaired practitioner program. If a self-reporting practitioner voluntarily enters into an impaired practitioner program,

³⁶ A "conclusive presumption" is one in which proof of a basic fact renders the existence of the presumed fact conclusive and irrevocable regardless of any evidence to the contrary. Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Ed., 1992.

lines 299-306 prohibit a consultant from providing information to the department about the self-reporting impaired practitioner if the practitioner complies with the program. However, lines 364-369 requires a consultant to report to the DOH all participants when terminated for a material noncompliance, inability to progress, or for any other reason. Any other reason could include termination after successful completion of the program and participant contract.

VII. Related Issues:

Section 456.076(10)(b), F.S., (lines 299 - 306) prohibits a consultant from providing information to the department about a self-reporting impaired practitioner who voluntarily enters a program if certain conditions are met. This may be overly broad and jeopardize the public health if the practitioner is unable to safely practice his or her profession and the participant contract does not appropriately limit the practitioner's practice. The consultant has sole discretion to set the practice limitations.

VIII. None. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 456.076, 401.411, 455.227, 456.072, 457.109, 458.331, 459.015, 460.413, 461.013, 462.14, 463.016, 464.018, 464.204, 465.016, 466.028, 467.203, 468.217, 468.3101, 474.221, and 483.825.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.